



Fermi
Gamma-ray Space Telescope



**Fermi Symposium 2011,
Rome, Italy**



Fermi LAT Observations of Supernova Remnants

**Yasunobu Uchiyama (SLAC)
on behalf of
the Fermi LAT Collaboration**



- **Introduction**
 - **Origin of CRs, Diffusive Shock Acceleration (DSA)**
- **Historical SNRs**
 - **Tycho**
 - **Cassiopeia A**
- **Young TeV-bright SNRs**
 - **RX J1713.7-3946**
 - **Vela Jr.**
- **SNRs interacting with molecular clouds**
 - **W51C, W44, IC443, W28, W49B, W30(G8.7-0.1), CTB37A, ...**
- **Evolved SNRs without molecular cloud interactions**
 - **Cygnus Loop, (Puppis A)**



SNRs as the Origin of Galactic Cosmic Rays

- i. **Energetics**: CR **protons** of $\sim 10^{50}$ erg ($\sim 10\%$ of E_{SN}) per SNR
- ii. **Index**: 2.0-2.3
- iii. **Maximum Energy**: \sim PeV (to reach “knee”)

SNRs as Laboratory for Diffusive Shock Acceleration

- i. **Energetics**: poorly known (injection process)
- ii. **Index**: 2.0 for TP (strong shock), NL predicts “concave” spectrum
- iii. **Maximum Energy & Escaping CRs**
- iv. **B-field Amplification**


→ Fermi-LAT is capable to address many of these problems.



Part I: *Historical SNRs*


★ Tycho's SNR

- SN 1572
- SN type: Ia
- distance: ~ 3 kpc
- radius: ~ 3.7 pc



★ Cassiopeia A

- SN ~ 1680
- SN type: IIb
- distance: ~ 3.4 kpc
- radius: ~ 2.5 pc



X-ray Images
(Chandra)

Most parameters are reasonably well known.
→ largely help us interpret gamma-ray results.


Tycho: Synchrotron & B-field



Warren+05


Chandra

shock heated
ejecta



synchrotron X-rays

X-ray/radio radial profile




Cassam-Chenai+07

$B_2 = 0.1\text{-}0.2 \text{ mG}$ is inferred from the width of X-ray filaments

Tycho: Recent TeV Detection




VERITAS Collaboration (2011)



Flux(>1 TeV) ~ 1% Crab
5.0 σ detection (post-trial)

B-field constraint put by X-ray
does *not* contradict IC origin.

Fermi-LAT can test
"leptonic vs hadronic"





Tycho: New GeV Detection



See a poster by Fermi-LAT Collaboration (Naumann-Godo+)

Fermi-LAT Detection (5σ)

Preliminary




Photon index = 2.3 ± 0.1
(favors hadronic origin)

6-8% of E_{SN} transferred to CRs.

Case	D_{kpc}	n_H [cm ⁻³]	E_{SN} [10 ⁵¹ erg]	$E_{p,tot}$ [10 ⁵¹ erg]	K_{ep}
Far	3.50	0.24	2.0	0.150	4.5×10^{-4}
Nearby	2.78	0.30	1.0	0.061	7.0×10^{-4}

Tycho: CR Content



Input Parameters in Edmon+11


$n_0 = 0.3 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ (ISM density)
 $T_0 = 30000 \text{ K}$
 $B_0 = 30 \text{ uG}$ (Upstream B-field)
 $E_0 = 10^{51} \text{ erg}$ (E_{SN})
 $\rightarrow t_0 = 255 \text{ yr}$

Tycho's SNR at $t = 439 \text{ yr}$:
 $E_{\text{CR}} \sim 7\% \text{ of } E_{\text{SN}}$

Later on, say $t = 2500 \text{ yr}$:
 $E_{\text{CR}} \sim 14\% \text{ of } E_{\text{SN}}$

CR spectral index = 2.3

Cas A: GeV & TeV Detections






$B_2 = 0.3-0.5$ mG is inferred from the width of X-ray filaments (Vink & Laming 03; Parizot+06) and X-ray time-variability (Uchiyama & Aharonian 08)

π^0 -decay model

Abdo+2010



**Fermi-LAT spectrum:
 $\Gamma = 2.0 \pm 0.1$**

**CR Proton: $\sim 0.4 \times 10^{50}$ erg
 $E_{\text{CR}} \sim 2\%$ of $E_{\text{SN}} = 2 \times 10^{51}$ erg**

CR spectral index = 2.3




Part II: *Young TeV-bright SNRs*

RX J1713.7-3946 & Vela Jr.



RX J1713.7-3946

TeV gamma-ray map (H.E.S.S.)



- age: ~ 1600 yr
- distance: ~ 1 kpc

Synchrotron X-ray variability:


$\sim 0.1-1$ mG (Uchiyama+07)

Synchrotron cutoff (Tanaka+08):

“Bohm limit”

RX J0852.0-4622 (Vela Jr)

TeV gamma-ray map (H.E.S.S.)



- age: 2000-4000 yr
- distance: ~ 0.75 kpc

Synchrotron X-ray filament:


≥ 0.1 mG (Berezhko+09)

RX J1713.7-3946: LAT Results




Abdo+2011 (in press)


Hadronic



TS map above 0.5 GeV
(using a point source hypothesis)



Leptonic



1FGL sources in BGD model

SrcA,B,C also in BGD model

Photon index: $\Gamma_{\text{LAT}} = 1.5 \pm 0.1(\text{sta}) \pm 0.1(\text{sys})$


LAT spectral shape is consistent with what expected in leptonic scenarios (IC origin), though $B_2 = 0.01$ mG would be difficult to be reconciled with X-ray measurements. Hadronic origin requires very hard proton spectrum, which challenges current models.

Vela Jr.: LAT Results




Fermi-LAT Collaboration (Tanaka+) in prep.


LAT count map above 10 GeV



Detection at $\sim 15\sigma$ level
 $\Gamma_{\text{LAT}} = 1.87 \pm 0.08(\text{sta})$
 $\pm 0.17(\text{sys})$



Again, $B_2 = 0.01$ mG in leptonic model would be difficult to be reconciled with X-ray measurements. Hadronic model would require a large CR content (5×10^{50} erg for $n=0.1 \text{ cm}^{-3}$)






Part III:
SNRs Interacting with Molecular Clouds



Fermi-LAT Collaboration (Uchiyama+) 2011



Extended GeV emission has been discovered from several SNRs, with **molecular cloud (MC)** interactions.

GeV extension is consistent with the size of a radio remnant (except for W28).


The dominant class of LAT SNRs.

2.5 yr count maps (>2 GeV, front-converted)

5.5



Fermi-LAT Collaboration (Uchiyama+) 2011



High GeV luminosity
up to 10^{36} erg/s

Assuming e/p ratio less
than 10%,
the only way to achieve
the high luminosity is:



π^0 -decay γ -rays in dense
gas (>10 cm $^{-3}$).

Spectral steepening
in the GeV band



GeV luminosity
 \gg TeV luminosity



W49B Abdo+2010



W30(G8.7-0.1) Hanabata+



G349.7+0.2
Castro & Slane
2010
Similar to W49B

CTB 37A
Castro & Slane
2010
Poster by Brandt+

3C391
Castro & Slane
2010

W41
Talk by Méhault+



MC-SNRs:
LAT flux seems to correlate with radio flux






Figure 3: (Left) Radio flux (synchrotron) vs GeV γ -ray flux for MC-interacting SNRs. The γ -ray energy flux integrated over 0.1–100 GeV and the radio flux, νf_ν at 1 GHz, are shown. (Right) Mean surface brightness of the synchrotron radio emission and GeV γ -ray emission. The flux-flux plot is converted into this form using the solid angles of the radio remnants.



Radio & γ -ray emissions from **radiatively-compressed filaments**
Crushed Cloud Model (Uchiyama+2010)




SNR W44




synchrotron radio emission
correlated with **shocked H₂ gas**



Radio & γ -ray emissions from **radiatively-compressed filaments**
Crushed Cloud Model (Uchiyama+2010)




SNR W44




synchrotron radio emission
correlated with **shocked H₂ gas**



Radio & γ -ray emissions from **radiatively-compressed filaments**
Crushed Cloud Model (Uchiyama+2010)



SNR W44



synchrotron radio emission
correlated with **shocked H₂ gas**



Re-acceleration of pre-existing CRs in MC at cloud radiative shock.
 π^0 -decay gamma-rays in a radiatively-compressed layer.

Naturally accounts for a gamma-ray luminosity of $\sim 10^{35}$ erg/s
 A slow (~ 100 km/s) shock explains spectral steepening in GeV range

Model Parameters


f: Preshock cloud filling factor
 $f = 0.2$ fixed


n: Preshock cloud density in cm^{-3}

B: Preshock B-field in μG
 $B = 2 n^{1/2}$ fixed

R: SNR radius in pc

E: SN kinetic energy in 10^{51} erg






- radio & γ -ray fluxes can be explained by re-acceleration of the pre-existing GCRs

- flat radio index ($\alpha=0.37$) is naturally explained




Surface Brightness Diagram (d-independent) LAT (1-100 GeV) vs Radio (1 GHz)



W49B & G349.7+0.2

- relatively young < 10000 yr
- “canonical” radio index ($\alpha = 0.5$)
- Strong infrared lines




Color: Spitzer IRAC 5.8 μm
(Radiative shock)

Contours: VLA 1.4 GHz
(GeV electrons)



Surface Brightness Diagram (d-independent) LAT (1-100 GeV) vs Radio (1 GHz)



W49B & G349.7+0.2

- relatively young < 10000 yr
- “canonical” radio index ($\alpha = 0.5$)
- Strong infrared lines



Freshly Accelerated CRs in W49B (& also G349.7+0.2)

LAT flux implies $u_{CR} \sim 10^5 \text{ eV/cm}^3$ (re-acceleration is not enough)

$n=200 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, $v=200 \text{ km/s}$ (fully pre-ionized)

To get $u_{CR} \sim 10^5 \text{ eV/cm}^3$, $\xi \sim 3 \times 10^{-5}$ (c.f. Tycho: $\xi \sim 5 \times 10^{-4}$)


Contours: VLA 1.4 GHz
(GeV electrons)

5.8 um
(shock)

Σ -D Relation



Radio Surface Brightness (Σ) - Diameter (D) Relation




$V \sim 1000 \text{ km/s}$ shock : CR acceleration $> 10 \text{ TeV}$
 $V \sim 100 \text{ km/s}$ shock : CR (re-)acceleration $< \text{TeV}$




Part IV: *Evolved SNR without MC*

Cygnus Loop





Middle-age $\sim 2 \times 10^4$ yr
Large angular size (3 deg)
No clear MC interaction





Katagiri+ (submitted)



Correlation with X-ray and H α emissions
 → Gamma-ray-emitting particles distribute near shock waves

NOTE: southern radio emission would be another SNR.


Spectral steepening above ~ 2 GeV.

(simple power-law disfavored at 3.5σ level)



Gamma-ray Luminosity $\sim 1 \times 10^{33}$ erg/s ($<$ other LAT SNRs)



Katagiri+ (submitted)



Unlike other middle-aged remnants, gamma-ray emission is not due to interactions with molecular cloud.



Gamma-ray emission comes from either (1) main blast wave regions (X-ray) or (2) radiative shock region ($\text{H}\alpha$).

ions
ar
e
her



- **Historical SNRs**
 - **Tycho & Cassiopeia A**
 - **Hadronic origin, Magnetic field amplification, CR energy content**
- **Young TeV-bright SNRs**
 - **RX J1713.7-3946 & Vela Jr.**
 - **Leptonic origin? (B-field too low?)**
- **SNRs interacting with molecular clouds**
 - **W51C, W44, IC443, W28, W49B, W30, CTB37A, ...**
 - **Hadronic origin**
 - **Most cases: re-acceleration of ambient GCRs**
 - **Runaway CRs would be responsible for some cases**
- **Evolved SNRs without molecular cloud interactions**
 - **Cygnus Loop**
 - **Hadronic origin**
 - **Blast wave region? (X-ray) or Radiative shock? (H α)**